PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form P	СТ/ІРЕА/416			
20031064 WO	International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year) 27-11-2003			
International application No.						
PCT/FI2004/000718	26-11-2004		2, 11 100			
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and if C					
See Supplemental Box						
Applicant						
Outokumpu Technology	Oy et al					
		ablished by thi	is International Preliminary Examining			
Authority under Article 35 and t	ransmitted to the applicant accord	ing to ritters	is International Preliminary Examining 36.			
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 6 sheets, inclu	ding this cover	r sheet.			
3. This report is also accompanied						
) a total of	sheets, as follows:			
1		which have	a been amended and are the basis of this report			
and/or sheet	s containing rectifications authori	zed by this Au	thority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the			
Administrat	ive Instructions).	· 1 .1 · - A A	its considers contain an amendment that goes			
sheets which	h supersede earlier sheets, but will disclosure in the international app	lication as file	d, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the			
Supplement	al Box.					
b. (sent to the Internal	tional Bureau only) a total of (ind	icate type and	number of electronic carrier(s))			
	toining 0 ce	eauence listina	and/or tables related thereto, in electronic			
form only, as indicated Administrative Inst	ated in the Supplemental Box Rela	ating to Seque	nce Listing (see Section 802 of the			
4. This report contains indications Box No. I Basis	of the report					
Box No. II Prior	Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
i	1					
Box No. IV Lack	Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
	in defects in the international application					
1 1 =	in observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand		e of completion	n of this report			
26-09-2005		15-02-2006				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA	/SE Aut	horized officer	r			
Patent- och registreringsverk	et					
Box 5055 S-102 42 STOCKHOLM	En	der Dag	/MN			
Enginile No. +46, 8, 667, 72, 88	Tele	ephone No. +4	16 8 782 25 00			

International application No.

PCT/FI2004/000718

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of: Cover sheet

INTERNATIONAL PATENT CLASSIFICATION (IPC):

G05B 13/04 (2006.01) C25C 7/06 (2006.01)

G05B 1/06 (2006.01)

International application No.

PCT/FI2004/000718

Box	No. I	Basis of the report	\dashv
1.	With t	regard to the language, this report is based on:	1
		the international application in the language in which it was filed	
		a translation of the international application into	1
		which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:	
		international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))	
		publication of the international application (Rule 12.4(a)) international preliminary examination (Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))	
2.	furnis	regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have be shed to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filter not annexed to this report):	een 'ed''
ļ	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed/furnished	
Į		the description: as originally filed/furnished	d l
		pages	
ļ		pages* received by this Authority on	-
}			
		the claims: pages as originally filed/furnishe	
1		nages* as amended (together with any statement) under Atticle	
1		pages* received by this Authority on	
		pages* received by this Authority on	-
1		the drawings: as originally filed/furnishe	d
		pages received by this Authority on	_
1		pages* received by this Authority on	-
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.	
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
		the description pages	
Ì		the description, pages the claims, Nos.	
		the claims, Nos the drawings, sheets/figs	
1		the drawings, sneets/rigs the sequence listing (specify):	
ļ		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
			heen
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (70.2(c)).	Rule
		the description, pages	
		the claims, Nos.	
		the drawings, sheets/figs	
		the sequence listing (specify):	
		any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."	

International application No.

PCT/FI2004/000718

Sox No. V Reasoned statement of citations and explana	under Article : tions supporti	35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ing such statement
. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-20Y
•	Claims	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YI
	Claims	1-20 NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-20 YI
	Claims	N

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

The invention

applicant describes the problem of controlling the The in the treatment metals of measurement values for must be controlled The parameters to be electrolysis. identified in real-time in order to easily identify and improve the influencing values. Therefore, the intention of the applicant is to provide a method to continuously identify and influence parameters by a mathematical model or algorithm for an electrolytic treatment of metals.

Documents cited in the International Search Report

D1: WO 03000960 A1 2001351 WO Vinnama 2012 and

D2: JP 5263299 A D3: DE 19707981 A1

Document D1 discloses a method for the improvement of the process measurements, e.g. current, temperature, composition of the electrolyte and electric current, in the electrolytic treatment of metals. A theoretical cell voltage is first calculated which is compared with a measured voltage. The difference between the theoretical and measured voltage is monitored constantly, and information on the status of the process can be obtained continually. From this information a user can concentrate to a more critical cell group separately to control and avoid disturbances to a well running group and concentrate only on the groups requiring immediate attention (see page 3, line 9 - page 4, line 28).

Document D2 discloses a power source device for

.../...

PCT/FI2004/000718

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: Box $\,V\,$

electroplating. The power source has a processing section to output estimated values of fuzzy variables and control program to affect fuzzy logic. An inference value and a set value contained in a memory are compared by a control program unit (CPU) and a correction value is calculated. The feedback to add or subtract the correction value to or from the interference value is repetitively executed (see abstract of PAJ).

Document D3 discloses a method for coating a metal strip with the characteristics of the galvanic cell determined by means of a fuzzy logics system. The coating is regulated according to the properties of the galvanic cell in such a way that a layer corresponding to a desired thickness by means of a fuzzy logics system (see abstract).

The invention according to claim 1 differs from what is known in D1, which is regarded as the most relevant document, in that collected real-time process and control data is transformed into mathematical model or an algorithm for describing the process index status. This eliminates problems connected to the evaluation of the status of an electrolytic process with the use of mathematical models based on collected history data and empirical data.

The problem to be solved is to transform and adjust the collected data in a process to status index evaluation. This makes it possible for correct point of time and with correct target to be controlled during the process.

To control and evaluate status for collected history data based on mathematical model or algorithm for the performance of a real-time process control is not considered to go beyond what is expected for a skilled man in the art. It is well known and of general technical feature that mathematical model calculates, operates and processes logical arithmetical procedures with the study related to ensure the solution of a procedures with the study related to ensure the solution of a problem by specific determinations of status set index value of data information. This solution of status information based on mathematical calculations can be displayed in any form of information to an operator for overview and control over the

.../...

International application No.

PCT/FI2004/000718

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of: Box V

process. Therefore, to not explicit express transformation of history data collected from the process into mathematical models or algorithm for presentation of real-time status is not considered going beyond what is expected for a skilled man in the art. Further the skilled man in the art looking for alternative way of computational procedure to ensure the solution of a problem in electroplating processes based on mathematical models or algorithms find such in documents D2-D3.

Hence, the technical feature of using mathematical models or algorithms in a process plant is considered as known technique to a person skilled in the art. Since no unexpected technical effect beyond that expected is achieved, the feature of the invention according to claim 1 is either disclosed in cited documents or is not considered to go beyond what can be expected from a person skilled in the art. Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1 lacks an inventive step.

The dependent claims 2-20 enumerates further implementation Since no unexpected technical achieved, the invention defined in dependent claim 2-20 must be considered as an obvious application of known art.

industrially regarded to be claimed invention is The applicable.